

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/887,028	06/25/2001	Niva Shapira	01/22156	8373		
7:	590 04/03/2003	,				
SOL SHEINBEIN			EXAMINER			
SUITE 207	CASTORINA		WEINSTEIN	, STEVEN L		
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
men or or or o			1761	· ·		
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applic	(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	000	Group Art Unit	
Cince Henen Cammany	S LAIE	Nex=10	SHAPIRA Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear				 285—
Period for Reply		1		-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T	O EVOIDE			NO DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	U EXPIRE	MOI	NIH(S) FROM THE MAILI	NG DAIE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statement of the provision of the provision of the period for reply will, by statement of the provision of	reply within the statute it, expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the applic	ory minimum of THS from the m	thirty (30) days will be consident ailing date of this communication to ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	ed timely. on. 13).
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
☐ This action is FINAL.			•	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193			n as to the merits is clos	jed in
Disposition of Claims				
Disposition of Claims © Claim(s)		is	s/are pending in the applica	ation.
Of the above claim(s)		is	s/are withdrawn from consi	deration.
☐ Claim(s)		is	s/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		is	s/are rejected.	
□ CJaim(s)		is	s/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s) / - / / Claim(s)		a	are subject to restriction or equirement	election
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is \Box anno		•	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection, filed on is/are objection.		· ·	pproved.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	cled to by the Exal	illi lei		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119 (a)-(d).		
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		tion No		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been i □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 			•	
in this national stage application from the International				
*Certified copies not received:	•	` ''		_
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	n(s).	□ Interview	Summany PTO_413	
		 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			л, РТО-152	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	ĸ	□ Other		

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

The state of the s

Part of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 09/887,028

Art Unit: 1761

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-7, drawn to a method of feeding an infant, classified in class 426, subclass 2.

II. Claims 8-14, drawn to a kit, classified in class 426, subclass 120.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The apparatus as claimed in Group II can be used to perform a different process than that recited in Group I. For example, the two containers could be used to feed two different infants wherein it was determined that one infant required a higher or lower fat content than the other as, *possed to feeding the same infant both containers.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring separate searches as shown by their different classification, restriction is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Also, if applicant chooses Group I, a further election of species is required as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I, wherein the method employs two bottles and the infant is fed one after the other

Application/Control Number: 09/887,028

Art Unit: 1761

Group II, wherein the method employs a compartmented bottle and the infant is fed with gradual mixing

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim one is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,028

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Steven Weinstein whose telephone number is 703-308-0650. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

S. Weinstein/mn March 24, 2003

STEVE WEINSTEIN
PRIMASSES AMINER